

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ext. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,483	12/14/2001	David S. Wardrop	130109.431	5180	
500	7590 06/18/2003				
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			EXAMINER		
			ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND		
SEATTLE, W	SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	. ARI UNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	6	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A S				
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary	10/017,483	WARDROP ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Raymond Alejandro	1745				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp naence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 D	<u>lecember 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims	=x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority arraor ou 5.5.5. 3 1 15(a	, (a) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		nn No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/017,483 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a fuel cell stack assembly comprising specific electrical devices, classified in class 429, subclass 23.
- II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a shunt regulator comprising specific features, classified in class 323, subclass 284.
- III. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method of operating a fuel cell stack, classified in class429, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the fuel cell stack assembly per se does not require the electrical means for operation, that is, the fuel cell can comprises any other automatic control responsive to temperature or pressure. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing electrical regulation system.
- 3. Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

Application/Control Number: 10/017,483

Art Unit: 1745

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as

Page 3

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for example, the fuel

cell stack can be operated by determining a voltage across a portion of the fuel cell stack, or by

determining a voltage across a power bus of a fuel cell stack (as admitted by the applicant); or in

alternative manner, by automatic controlling means responsive either to temperature or pressure

as well.

4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects, for

example, the shunt regulator is an electrical regulation feature while the method is for operating a

fuel cell stack.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 5.

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for one group is not required for other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

is proper.

In addition, further restriction is required. Thus, applicant must elect one of the groups

above and one of the species above according to the elected group.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention; the following species have been identified:

Species for either Group I or II (if Group I or II is finally elected):

Species 1: fuel cell stack assembly comprising a transistor being an n-channel field effect transistor;

Species 2: fuel cell stack assembly comprising a transistor being a p-channel field effect transistor;

Species 3: fuel cell stack assembly comprising a transistor being an n-channel bipolar junction transistor;

Species 4: fuel cell stack assembly comprising a transistor being a p-channel bipolar junction transistor.

Species for Group III (if Group III is finally elected):

Species 1: method of operating a fuel cell stack by determining a voltage across a portion of a fuel cell stack;

Species 2: method of operating a fuel cell stack by determining a voltage across a power bus of a fuel cell stack

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, either claim 1 (Group I) or 10 (Group II) is generic; and no claim is generic for Group III.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,483 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. A telephone call was made to Frank Abramonte on 06/04/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/017,483

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1745